

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,952 09/22/2003		003	Michael C. Kemery	NIKE.105811	1213
5251	7590 0	08/01/2006	EXAMINER		
•	ARDY & BAC	AMERSON, LORI BAKER			
2555 GRAND		TY DEPARTM	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
KANSAS CIT	Y, MO 6410	8-2613	3764		

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	`	
1	ì	n
1	Λ	″
Α.	11	1
N		

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/667,952	KEMERY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	L Amerson	3764					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M	ay 2006.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits i						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-17,20,21 and 25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 12-17,20,21 and 25 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5,8,9 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6-7,10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10 May 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 10/667,952 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17,20-21,25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - a. Claims 1, 5, 8-9, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being unpatentable over England in view of Goldstein et al. England discloses in Figure 2 all of the limitations of the instant invention except for the sidewalls having a concave profile. Thus Goldstein et al show a sidewall having a concave profile and ribs. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify England in view of the teaching of Goldstein such that a concave shaped profile provides additional stability to the user while on the device. Applicant has not specifically claimed the device resting on a surface. Additionally, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).
 - b. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over England and Goldstein et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view

Application/Control Number: 10/667,952

Art Unit: 3764

of Larson. England discloses all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the frictional surface and raised ridges. Thus, Larson teaches a frictional surface (col. 2, lines 26) and raised ridges 33. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a frictional surface and ridges on an exercise device to prevent sliding.

Page 3

- c. Claims 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over England and Goldstein et al as applied to claim 1 and further in view of Yeh. England discloses all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for an overmold formed from rubber or plastic. Thus, Yeh teaches a plastic overmold (col. 2, line 11). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form an overmold from a durable material such as plastic to prevent wear and tear on the device during extended use.
- 2. Claims 6-7, 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 12-17, 20-21 and 25 are allowed.
- 3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3764

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L Amerson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4971. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri from 9-6 p.m. Interviews Tue. and Thur..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LORI AMERSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

7 Luers